



## ***"Contrasting Missional and Church Growth Perspectives"***

**By Dr. Gailyn Van Rheenen**

Dr. Gailyn Van Rheenen is an Adjunct Professor of Missions at Abilene Christian University since 1986. He holds degrees from Harding University (B.A. Bible); Abilene Christian University (M.S. Missions); Trinity Evangelical Divinity School (DMiss.)

I pray that churches will become missional, i.e., theologically-formed, Christ-centered, Spirit-led fellowships who seek to faithfully incarnate the purposes of Christ. Missional churches define themselves as bodies formed by the calling and sending of God and reflecting the redemptive reign of God in Christ. They are unique communities in the world created by God through the Spirit as both holy and human. Missional leaders, likewise, reflect the calling and sending of God. They minister with humility recognizing themselves as "jars of clay" who finitely seek to enter into what God is already doing in his world.

The missional approach to ministry stands in obvious contrast to the traditional Church Growth perspective. Church Growth thinking has brought much to the practice of foreign and domestic missions. Donald McGavran, the father of Church Growth, encouraged missionaries to personally minister among unbelievers rather than attempt to draw people into Western-style mission enclaves or mission stations. He rightly emphasized the missionary nature of the local church and the need for pioneer evangelism among peoples ready to hear the gospel. He called for the incisive evaluation of missions. Above all, he taught us to employ tools from the social sciences to analyze culture and to use this analysis to develop penetrating strategies for reaching both searchers and skeptics with the gospel of Christ.

The seeds of syncretism, however, were rooted in the very principles of cultural analysis and strategy formation employed by this movement. Practitioners succumbed unintentionally to the humanistic suppositions of the Modern Era. Assuming that they could chart their way to success by their ingenuity and creativity, Church Growth practitioners focused on *what humans do* in missions rather than on *what God is doing*. They saw the missional task as setting goals, developing appropriate methodologies, and evaluating what does or does not work rather than seeking God's will based upon biblical and theological reflection. Their thinking segmented the gospel and practice, the human and divine into two compartmentalized worlds, and practice was developed on the basis of "what works" rather than the will and essence of God. Christian leaders placed more emphasis on developing effective strategy than forming communities shaped in the image of God. Although they advocated faithfulness to God, the system they proposed was based on human intelligence and ingenuity.

Church Growth thinking begins anthropocentrically. The focus is on strategy development and cultural analysis with biblical passages appropriated to give validity to the perspectives. The Missional movement, on the other hand, begins theologically with the perspectives of the mission and kingdom of God.

The Church Growth movement, emphasizing the human ability to decipher and strategize, reflects Modernity. Missionaries and missions scholars, however, increasingly doubt the ability of human ingenuity to guide the mission enterprise. They recognize the finite nature of human understanding and the need for dependence on the Spirit of God. Post-Modernity provides a more favorable cultural environment for Christian leaders to understand missions as authored and guided by the Holy Spirit.

Deciding the primacy and ordering of questions determines the missiological focus. Church Growth begins with the question "Why do some churches grow and others do not?" The central concern of the Missional movement, on the other hand, is "What is the gospel?" The gospel is thought to intersect with every question of theology and strategy. Because it is the essence of the Christian faith, the gospel cannot be relegated to the periphery, even when formulating practical issues of strategy.

The Church Growth movement focuses on truth as proposition. Christianity is reduced to culturally-defined categories and communicated based upon these conceptual groupings. This topic framework of mental referencing is susceptible to syncretism because it is based upon conceptualizations made by

Christian leaders attempting to intellectually clarify Christianity in the human cultural categories. The Missional movement maintains that the gospel cannot be contained in a set of propositions. The mission of God must be communicated as the dynamic story of God's relationship with his creation.

Missional proponents believe that God's mission cannot be predicted by human planning. They point to "God's surprises" in the book of Acts, resulting from the Holy Spirit going ahead of human messengers and directing them in God's mission. For example, Acts 10 describes the Spirit of God going ahead of Peter, teaching him of God's acceptance of the Gentiles, and leading him to household of Cornelius. The story is one of God working through his people for his purposes. While the Church Growth heritage has emphasized the mighty workings of God and the Holy Spirit due to the emphasis in such writings as Roland Allen's *Spontaneous Expansion of the Church*, the major focus has been on human ingenuity in decision-making. Elmer Towns, for instance, has great trust in human creativity. He believes that Church Growth thinking is leading missionary practitioners into a period of unprecedented growth by intentionally applying the scientific method to evangelism and church planting (2004, 39-40). The tension between the sovereignty of God, on the one hand, and the creativity of evangelists and church planters to strategize for success is the most significant difference between Missional and Church Growth thinking. A balance is needed between these two perspectives. God does miraculously lead us forward in His mission. He, nevertheless, calls us to minister intentionality. Paul, for instance, viewed himself as "an expert builder" laying the "foundation of Jesus Christ" and encouraged other ministers to "be careful" how they built (1 Cor. 3:10-11).

The Church Growth movement has focused on the uniqueness and distinctiveness of people groups and the contextualization of the Gospel among the *ethne* of the world. The Missional movement, on the other hand, believes that the gospel breaks socio-economic and ethnic divisions between peoples so that all become one in Christ.

The Missional orientation does not dichotomize evangelism and social action, discipling and perfecting, but views God's mission holistically. Church Growth adherents, reacting to the Social Gospel, argue that the primary task of missions is evangelism and incorporating new believers into the body of Christ. Evangelism and church planting, therefore, take priority over social action. They point to the existence of many social programs, which share loaves and fishes without the gospel.

The Church Growth and Missional movements represent two very different emphases. The Missional perspective accentuates theological reflection and historical perspective and the Church Growth movement cultural analysis and strategy formation. Each movement has much to learn from the other. Those of a Missional heritage can learn from Church Growth how to study culture beyond the general impressionistic level and be more intentional in strategy formation. Church Growth practitioners can learn to rethink their discipline in integrative theological categories and to study culture, interpret history, and develop strategy through the lens of Christian theology.

For the full article:

[http://missiology.org/mmr/printable/Missional%20and%20Church%20Growth%20Perspectives%20\(Missional%20Alive%20Update\).pdf](http://missiology.org/mmr/printable/Missional%20and%20Church%20Growth%20Perspectives%20(Missional%20Alive%20Update).pdf)

---

Dr. Gailyn Van Rheenen is an Adjunct Professor of Missions at Abilene Christian University since 1986. He holds degrees from Harding University (B.A. Bible); Abilene Christian University (M.S. Missions); Trinity Evangelical Divinity School (DMiss.)

Van Rheenen served as missionary in East Africa for 14 years, thirteen among the Kipsigis people of Kenya.

He is the author of five major texts in missions: *Missions: Biblical Foundations and Contemporary Perspectives* (Zondervan, 1996), *Missions Alive!* (ACU Press, 1994), *Communicating Christ in Animistic Contexts* (Baker Book House, 1991; William Carey Library, 1996), *Biblically Anchored Missions* (Firm

Foundation, 1983), and *Church Planting in Uganda* (William Carey Library, 1976). Currently he is writing two books: *Status of Missions in Churches of Christ* with Bob Waldron and *Becoming Redemptive* with his wife.

From 1996 to 1999 he served as national Vice-President for Publications of the Evangelical Missiological Society.

He is currently is an elder of the South 11th and Willis Church of Christ in Abilene, Texas.

You may reach Dr. Van Rheenen by e-mail at [rheenen@bible.acu.edu](mailto:rheenen@bible.acu.edu)